

# Motoring Ahead

## SYNCHRONOUS MOTORS CONTROLLED BY VARIABLE-SPEED DRIVES ARE BRINGING HIGHER EFFICIENCIES TO INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

Heinz Lendenman, Reza R. Moghadam, Ari Tami and Lars-Erik Thand

### Management Summary

Electric motors in industrial applications account for approximately 60–65 percent of consumed industrial electricity. Using energy effectively by increasing motor efficiency is at the center of continued motor optimization. Major energy savings are also gained through the use of variable speed drive systems, and today this technology is adopted in as many as 30–40 percent of all newly installed motors. Sustainable use and investment also demand increased reliability and lifetime of a motor. The streamlined rotor structure of ABB's synchronous reluctance motors eliminates rotor cage losses, thus increasing efficiency and compactness. The possibility of achieving standard power and torque levels at merely a low class-A temperature rise (60 K) improves the lifetime of the motor insulation and lengthens the bearing lifetime or greasing intervals.

Electric motors are used in a wide range of industrial applications. What most applications have in common is the need for their motor to be as efficient as possible and to have the longest possible lifetime without increasing maintenance demands or failures. ABB's synchronous reluctance motors are physically smaller in size, helping machine builders to design smaller, lighter and more efficient equipment. Additionally, the possibility of high-speed operation helps to eliminate mechanical power transmission elements such as gearboxes. This eventually enables the integration of the motor and the load equipment—now an increasingly common request.

To answer the need for a motor that is more efficient, smaller and with a long lifetime and low maintenance needs, and that could also be perfectly adapted to variable speed drive (VSD) operation, ABB radically re-thought all technology options. Starting a VSD motor is very different compared to a direct line connection start. This and other changes in boundary conditions highlighted potential opportunities to simplify the motor design and improve efficiency. One well known approach is the utilization of synchronous motors

(SM). SMs with a 4-pole rotor operated at 50 Hz rotate in synchronism with the supply at exactly 1,500 rpm. The corresponding induction motor (IM), however, has slip losses and rotates only at 1,475 rpm for a chosen 30 kW example. In modern IMs with a short circuit rotor cage, the losses associated with the rotor amount to 20–35 percent of the total motor losses. Synchronous rotation eliminates most of these associated losses.

The elimination of these slip losses leads to an efficiency increase of about ~0.6 percent (220 kW motor) to 8 percent (3 kW), as well as a 20–40 percent increase in power and torque density for the same insulation temperature class.

Synchronous motors come in different variants—field-wound with brushless exciters; permanent-magnet (PM) motors; or as motors based on the principle of magnetic reluctance (often called a synchronous reluctance motor or SynRM). A SynRM rotor has neither a conducting short circuit cage—as does the IM—nor permanent magnets or field excitation winding. Rather, the magnetic principle of reluctance is utilized.



**Figure 1—A motor-and-drive system undergoing highly accelerated stress testing (HAST). (The above and all other pictures/images courtesy ABB).**


*The synchronous reluctance motor.* Magnetic reluctance is the magnetic equivalent of the resistance in electrical circuits. The rotor consists of one direction of least-possible magnetic resistance ( $d$ ) and a perpendicular direction ( $q$ ) with a high magnetic reluctance or good magnetic “insulation” (Fig. 3). Torque is produced as the rotor attempts to align the magnetically conducting direction to the stator field. The strength of the produced torque is directly related to the saliency ratio—i.e., the inductance ratio between the two magnetic directions of the rotor.

The invention of the SynRM concept dates back to 1923. However, at that time the motor type was not adapted for industrial use, due primarily to the lack of a direct online starting capability. But now—with the use of variable speed controllers—this obstacle has been removed (Fig. 4).

In 1982, NdFeB-based, permanent-magnet materials were discovered. The resulting new permanent-magnet (PM) motor technology was adapted for servomotors and is now emerging in many industrial specialty applications such as gearless, low-speed torque motors (Ref. 1). But once again, less attention was paid to the unpretentious SynRM.

In addition, not all earlier published work on the SynRM succeeded in demonstrating superior torque performance or reaching higher efficiency than the IM, as was expected from the calculations—a fact cited by experts and academics as to why the SynRM is not used more often today. Presumably, these early results were due to less-optimized converter control. Indeed, some publications show very promising results

continued




**Figure 2—The possibility of high-speed operation helps to eliminate mechanical power transmission elements, such as gearboxes.**

and have addressed the electromagnetic design aspects in great depth (Refs. 2–3). It is important to note the contrast of the SynRM to the switched reluctance—or stepper motor—with an entirely different stator, winding concept and non-sinusoidal current waves; a motor often considered unsuitable for industrial use due to high noise. A cited disadvantage of the SynRM is a higher current need for the same torque compared to the PM motor, since the rotor must be magnetized through the stator. However, the power factor as seen from the network is determined by the power converter and is in unity with all operating modes—even for the SynRM.


**The industrial motor for VSD systems.** In ABB’s SynRM rotor designs and drive control, the motor current, propor-

tional to the inverse of power factor and efficiency— $\propto 1/(\eta \cdot \cos[\rho])$ —is actually lower than that of a small-size induction machine at the same torque and speed. This is primarily due to the significant gain in efficiency. Only for large motors

For small motors at 3 or 4 kW level, as much as 60 percent more power can be obtained for the same temperature rise.



**Figure 3—Synchronous reluctance rotor and torque principle.**




**Figure 4—Innovation timeline in LV motors.**

is the converter current higher than with an IM at the same torque. In general, the ABB SynRM operates with the same frame size for the drive (e.g., ACS850) as the IM at the same power and torque level, albeit at the increased power density and higher efficiency than the IM. The motor efficiency increase translates to a nearly identical energy savings at the drive system level.


One other key advantage of ABB’s SynRM is the plain rotor structure. Without magnets or cage, the rotor construction is more robust than either IM or PM machines. In addition, no risk of permanent loss of performance exists due to potential de-magnetization in case of failure or overheating situations. The motor is inherently safe in operation since, without magnets, no back-EMF voltage is induced and the need for over-voltage protection of the converter becomes superfluous. Finally, rare earth materials for permanent magnets are relatively expensive and have currently been in limited supply for some markets due to the geographic concentration of the common raw materials suppliers, among other reasons.

Elimination of most of the rotor losses and the streamlined rotor structure result in a number of benefits for this motor and its connected load equipment (Fig. 5). A motor with this technology can be operated at the IEC standardized power level for the given frame size. In this case, the VSD efficiency gain ranges from more than 5 percent units for single kW machines to about 0.5 percent for the largest motors (frame 315). Consequently, where an IM would have run at class-F temperature rise (105 K), the ABB SynRM operates merely at class-A temperature rise (60 K) (Fig. 6).



**Figure 5—Loss distribution and efficiency.**

## Temperature Classes



Ambient temperature is the temperature of the air surrounding the motor. This is the threshold point or temperature the motor assumes when shut off and completely cool.

Temperature rise is the change within a motor when operating at full load. The difference between the motor's starting temperature and its final elevated temperature is the motor's temperature rise.

The standard method of measuring temperature rise involves taking the difference between the cold and hot ohmic resistance of the winding. This averages the temperature change of the whole winding—including the motor leads, end turns, and wire deep inside the stator slots. Since some of these spots are hotter than others, an allowance factor uses the average temperature to indicate what the temperature probably is

at the hottest spot. This is known as the "hot spot" allowance.

Insulation classes group insulations by their resistance to thermal aging and failure. The four common insulation classes are designated as A, B, F or H. The temperature capability of each class is the maximum temperature at which the insulation can operate to give an average life of 20,000 hr.

Operating a motor at a lower temperature rise than allowed by the insulation class can change the motor's thermal capacity, allowing it to handle higher than normal ambient temperatures. In doing so, the motor's life is extended.

The above graph shows the temperature ratings, temperature rise allowances and hot spot allowances for various enclosures of standard motors.

**Figure 6.**

In comparison, for a specific compressor at 4,500 rpm, the associated ABB SynRM features still lower bearing temperatures when run at true class-H rise (125 K) than the larger IM run at class-F rise (105 K). The motor was thus also called a "CoolMotor" (Fig. 7). This low-temperature operation improves the lifetime of the motor insulation and lengthens the bearing lifetime or greasing intervals. Motor bearings in particular require regular servicing and, according

**The low-temperature operation improves the lifetime of the motor insulation and extends the bearing lifetime or greasing intervals.**

to some studies, bearing failure is the root cause of approximately 70 percent of all unplanned motor outages. The lower bearing temperature directly translates into longer greasing intervals, reduced maintenance and higher reliability. Even if a bearing eventually needs replacing, having no magnetic forces—unlike a PM motor—the bearing change is as easy as for an IM.

The technology enables good torque utilization at higher speeds. In another utilization of this technology, the operation is maintained at the conventional temperature—often B- or F-class. Since losses on the rotor are difficult to cool—

compared to stator losses—their near elimination has a particularly high impact on the torque performance. For small motors at 3 or 4 kW level, as much as 60 percent more power can be obtained for the same temperature rise. For a 60 kW motor the gain is in the 40 percent range and for a 220 kW motor in the 20 percent range, compared to an IM. In most cases, the same power can be obtained from a motor by one or sometimes two frame sizes smaller than an IM. The reduction of the footprint is appreciable for all applications that can utilize lower frame heights and smaller motors. An additional gain is the reduced heat load on nearby parts, particularly in closed cabinets. Even at this vastly increased power density, a further important advantage results from the removal of the losses on the rotor side; since much of the heat conduction through the shaft is eliminated, the bearing temperature, particularly on the drive-end, is reduced. Comparing an ABB SynRM with an IM at 6 kW, this can be as much as a 30 K reduction, with an approximately 15 to 20 K reduction typical over the entire range. This effect is particularly pronounced at higher speeds, as well as for operation at higher temperature classes. The generally high efficiency is maintained even at this high output. Furthermore, the ABB SynRM retains the excellent partial load efficiency curve typical of synchronous machines in that the efficiency remains high—even at partial load; a feature particularly appreciated in VSD drives for fans and pumps.

Finally, these rotors feature about 30–50 percent reduced

**continued**

higher-speed ramp rates.


**Rotor construction and reliability.** Most technical aspects of drive systems with ABB's SynRMs are directly based on existing technology. The housing, connection box, stator, winding design and technology and bearing options are identical to IMs. As the 3-phase currents are sinusoidal, the same drive products can control this motor type, provided the firmware is optimized and includes this motor type. Only the rotor is different.

The rotor is less complex than in both IM and PM, as laminated electrical steel sheets are fitted to the shaft. The complexity is in the design. Extensive finite element simulations (FEM) were used to design the cross-section carefully in terms of electrical and mechanical properties. Important design choices made include the number of magnetic segments and the exact shape of the air barriers. This determines the torque production and the magnetization current of the motor. Minimizing this reactive current was crucial in maintaining a favorable drive rating. The exact placement of the segments along the periphery is essential to create smooth

torque during rotation, thus keeping the motor noise as low as with conventional motors. One result of this complex optimization using FEM, along with analytical and genetic algorithms, was that a 4-pole configuration is most suitable for the entire speed range up to 6,000 rpm.

Drive conditions of pumps, fans, compressors, and mining and crane applications were emulated using methods for highly accelerated stress testing (HAST).

To verify the reliability of this new rotor, extensive motor and drive system testing was conducted throughout development. Drive conditions of pumps, fans, compressors and mining and crane applications were emulated using methods



**Figure 7—Temperature scans from a thermal-imaging camera.**

The performances of the new motor drive system are given for three IEC motor frame sizes.

Motor, temperature rise class F								Drive, 400 V				
Size mm	PN kW	nN r/min	PN kW	nmax r/min	Eff % (1/1)	TN Nm	MM kg	Type code ACS-850-04	IN A	Noise dBA	Frame size	MD kg
100	4	1,500	4	2,250	84.3	25	22	010A-5	10.5	39	B	5
100	7.5	3,000	7.5	4,500	88.7	23	22	018A-5	18	39	B	5
100	13	4,500	13	6,000	90.5	27	22	030A-5	30	63	C	16
100	17.5	6,000	17.5	6,000	91.3	27	22	044A-5	44	71	C	16
160	26	1,500	26	2,250	91.7	165	180	061A-5	61	70	D	23
160	50	3,000	50	4,500	94.0	159	180	144A-5	144	65	E0	35
160	70	4,500	70	5,300	94.6	148	180	166A-5	166	65	E	67
280	110	1,500	110	1,800	96.0	700	640	260A-5	260	65	E	67
280	130	1,800	130	2,200	95.9	689	640	290A-5	290	65	E	67

For full specifications check ABB web pages at [www.abb.com/motors&generators](http://www.abb.com/motors&generators)

**Figure 8—Performance of motor drive system for piloting.**

for highly accelerated stress testing (HAST). HAST cycles were developed specifically for this motor to ensure robust lifetime performance, such as successfully conducting high-repetition-rate motor starts and stops at speeds above catalog-permitted values. The cycle count and overload conditions were dimensioned to correspond to a more than 20-year lifetime of rated operation.

**Drive converter and control.** Conventional ABB drive technology used for IM and PM motors, with standard direct torque control (DTC), was adapted to include the SynRM as a new motor type. Despite sharing many similarities with the PM motor, except for zero rotor flux, strong development focus was given to optimizing the torque production through maximum-torque-per-Ampere (MTPA) control. This ensures that the drive current is kept minimal in each operating point. The control also includes capabilities for the field-weakening range; i.e., the speed range above the nominal rated speed. A maximal rated speed of as much as 1.5 times nominal can be reached for much of the motor range. This drive control is a particularly important ABB result that enables this SynRM to reach appreciably higher torque densities than IMs.

The installation and operation of the power electronic drive for this motor is indistinguishable from driving VSDs with IM or PM motors. Standard features include automatic parameter identification based on nameplate values and sensorless operation. The motor does not need any speed sensors but nevertheless can maintain perfect speed accuracy as well as a high torque dynamic. The drive can even be dimensioned for specially requested overload and cycle load capability.

**Performance preview.** Since this motor, like the PM motor, always requires a VSD drive, matched pairs of motor and ACS drives are given as the standard recommendation

**Heinz Lendenmann, Reza Rajabi Moghaddam**

ABB Corporate Research

Västerås, Sweden

[heinz.lendenmann@se.abb.com](mailto:heinz.lendenmann@se.abb.com)

[reza.r.moghaddam@se.abb.com](mailto:reza.r.moghaddam@se.abb.com)

**Ari Tammi**

ABB Discrete Automation and Motion

Motors & Generators

Vaasa, Finland

[ari.tammi@fi.abb.com](mailto:ari.tammi@fi.abb.com)

**Lars-Erik Thand**

ABB Discrete Automation and Motion

Motors & Generators

Västerås, Sweden

[lars-erik.thand@se.abb.com](mailto:lars-erik.thand@se.abb.com)

## References

1. Haikola, M. "No Gears Required: ABB's Direct Drive Solution Meets the Challenges of the World's Most Demanding Processes." *ABB Review*, April, 2009, pp. 12–15.
2. Boglietti, A., A. Cavagnino, M. Pastorelli and A. Vagati. "Experimental Comparison of Induction and Synchronous Reluctance Motors Performance," *IEEE/IAS Annual Meeting*, Oct., 2005, Vol. 1, pp. 474–479.
3. Germishuizen, J. J., F.S. Van der Merwe, K. Van der Westhuizen and M.J. Kamper. "Performance Comparison of Reluctance Synchronous and Induction Traction Drives for Electrical Multiple Units," *IEEE/IAS Annual Meeting*, Oct. 8–12, 2000, Vol. 1, pp. 316–323.

---

An additional gain is the reduced heat load on nearby parts—particularly in closed cabinets.

---

for a range of power and speed levels (Fig. 8).

As a response to the key market trends of higher output, higher efficiency, longer service intervals and footprint reduction, a radical new motor uniquely suited for VSD systems is now available. The result is that increased power density of 20–40 percent when compared to an IM; a rotor construction without short circuit cage or permanent magnets; smaller motors; less heat generation and the highest possible efficiency for VSD systems is now a reality. A standard IM fitted with a new rotor—combined with a standard drive with new software—results in a high-output, high-efficiency VSD system. The output and efficiency performance is comparable to a PM motor drive, while technologies associated with the robust induction motor deliver the best of both worlds to users—all with value-added benefits as a bonus. 